IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:09-CV-200

CLEVELAND CONSTRUCTION, INC.)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	
)	AMENDED ORDER
FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE)	
COMPANY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant's Motions in Limine [D.I. 67–74], Plaintiff's Memoranda in Opposition to Defendant's Motions in Limine [D.I. 77–84], and Defendant's Replies to Plaintiff's Responses [D.I. 85–89]. For the reasons stated in open court:

- 1. Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Reference to Claims Dismissed via Summary Judgment [D.I. 67] is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.
- 2. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Exclude Evidence of Defendant's Financial Condition* [D.I. 68] is **GRANTED**.
- 3. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Preclude Plaintiff's Expert from Offering Opinions or Evidence with Respect to Specified Issues* [D.I. 69] is **GRANTED**.
- 4. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Preclude James Ludwig from Acting as Plaintiff's Trial Attorney* [D.I. 70] is **DENIED**.
- 5. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Allow Counsel to Conduct Voir Dire* [D.I. 71] is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.
- 6. Defendant's Motion in Limine Regarding Exclusion of Documents and/or Other Tangible Materials Not Produced in Discovery [D.I. 72] is **DENIED**.
- 7. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Preclude Plaintiff from Presenting Evidence of Breach of Contract Damages in Support of NC Gen Stat. 75-1.1 et. seq.* [D.I. 73] is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**.

8. Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff from Offering Evidence with Respect to Punitive Damages, Defendant's Allegedly Willful and Wanton Conduct or its Allegedly Aggravating Conduct [D.I. 74] is **GRANTED**.

SO ORDERED.

This 26th day of July, 2011.

Signed: July 26, 2011

Graham C. Mullen

United States District Judge